

## DRAFT EGOLF POSITION PAPER 008-2019

Subject of Position Paper (max. 60 characters)	DIAP of EN 1634-1 in associated supporting construction vs. side and transom panels
Related test standard	EN 1634-1
Date of issue	2019-06-26
Reference original query	<a href="https://www.egolf.global/members-area/helpdesk.html?t=295">https://www.egolf.global/members-area/helpdesk.html?t=295</a>
Previous publication number (if applicable)	TC2 N897
EGP for attention of (please state CEN TC/WG/TG)	CEN/TC 127 WG3
Keywords (max. 20)	Resistance, associated, supporting construction, direct application, DIAP

### Problem

This is a question related to the DIAP of EN 1634-1 on a double leaf glazed doorset installed within a similarly glazed partition framing system. Overall dimensions of the entire system are 3000 x 3000mm. The intention is to provide an allowable DIAP, but not to produce an EXAP report.

In this construction, if the partition framing system is to be considered an *associated supporting construction*, under section 13.6 of EN 1634 “the fire resistance of a door tested in an associated supporting construction has no field of direct application. The applicability of the result to other supporting constructions shall be the subject of extended application”.

It is understood that the applicability of other supporting construction can be governed by rules G.1.3 and G.3.1 of EN 15269 Part 2, but changing supporting construction is not the interest; the interest is allowing size extensions do the door leaves and partition framing.

So, under the first sentence of 13.6, it appears unambiguous that there is no DIAP for a door tested in an associated SC, so there would be no extension of height, width, and area of the frames in the DIAP alone. But, does that mean there is no DIAP? Or, is there a singular restriction requiring the door tested to always be installed in the same supporting construction as tested, which can be considered as side and transom panels, then given size variations in accordance with section 13.3.3.2.3 of EN 1634-1, but specifically disallowing unlimited replication of the partition framing system without a classification? Or, alternatively, could the door be provided the extensions, as the door only, and the partition/associated construction be specified as the subject of additional testing.

### Proposal

“The fire resistance of a door tested in an associated supporting construction has no field of direct application”. We consider that this strong statement is the only link to the supporting construction and not to all rules which are in the DIAP.